06-8

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION Club Building (Near Post Office) Old JNU Campus, New Delhi-110067 Tel:+91-11-26106140/26179548

File No.CIC/CC/A/14/000761/DP Date of decision:29.04.2016

Relevant facts emerging from the Appeal:

Appellant

Shri Om Prakash Jatt Head Office /E2 Signal Border Road Organisation

Border Road Building Ring Road

Naraina, Delhi Cantt New Delhi-110010

Respondent

CPIO, Chief Engineer Himank Project Pin-931710 C/o 56 Army Post office

RTI application filed on PIO replied on

18/06/2014

First appeal filed on

26/06/2014 08/07/2014

First appeal Authority order Second appeal dated

No Order 03/09/2014

Information sought:

Appellant sought the following information related to his attachment to HAD COY Chandigarh-:

1) What actions had been taken by signal section Himank Project on letter no.23029/DGBR/Sigs Adm dated 30/04/14 of BRO?

2) Under which rule he has been attached and his SDA and HAA2 allowances were not given to him respectively. Copy of rule under which gref staff has not given above allowances.

3) No. of ROPR persons attached to HAD COY Chandigarh from signal section Himank Project from period Jan.2007 to 31/03/2009 and what allowances they were entitled?

4) Copy of the order under which SDA, SCA and HAA2 allowances of ROPR persons were not given to them.

5) The Gref staff who has been attached to BRO form 753 BRTR/93 RCC/153 FCPL from July 2002 to Nov.2013 and he is entitled for which allowances.

6) The Gref staff who has been attached to Record Office Pune from 1620 Pnr Coy from Sept.2012 to April 2014 and they were entitled for which allowances and copy of the order under which they were given those allowances.

7) The maximum period for which Gref staff can be attached to any Dept. from Himank Project and under which rule.

8) Why his attachment is termed as unauthorised and why he has been kept attached without attachment order in the period 27/07/09 to 12/10/10.

Grounds for Second Appeal:
The CPIO has not provided the desired information.

Relevant Facts emerging during Hearing:

Appellant: Present in person.

Respondent: R. Vijaya Raju, Admin. Officer & CPIO, HQ CE(P), Himank C/o 56 APO and D.K. Kashyap, Sr. AO present in person.

Appellant reiterated his demand for certain service related documents which he has mentioned in the RTI application. CPIO mentioned that Border Roads Organisation is exempt under Section 24(1) of the RTI Act.

Decision :

Commission upholds the decision of the CPIO.

Advisory to Border Roads Organisations:

All personnels serving in the Organisation may be made aware of RTI provisions. Special mention has to be made to them that for service related documents they shouldn't approach CPIO/FAA/CIC under RTI Act unless it is a case of violation of Human Rights and allegations of corruption. It will save the time and energy of the personnels and the Organisation both.

The appeal is disposed of accordingly

(Divya Prakash Sinha) Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Raghubir Singh)
Dy. Registrar/Designated Officer